1	DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP	
2	Barbara A. Caulfield (SBN 108999) E-mail: bcaulfield@dl.com	
3	Peter E. Root (SBN 142348)	
4	E-mail: proot@dl.com 1950 University Avenue, Suite 500	
5	East Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 845-7000	
6	Facsimile: (650) 845-7333	
7	DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP 1101 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1100	
8	Washington, DC 20005	
9	Telephone: (202) 346-8000 Facsimile: (202) 346-8102	
10	DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP	
11	1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019	
12	Telephone: (212) 259-8000	
13	Facsimile: (212) 259-6333	•
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC	·.
15	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
17	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION
18		
19	IN RE BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	
20) Case No. C 05-02233 CRB
21	This Documents Relates to:) STIPULATION AND ORDER
22	ALL ACTIONS) SCHEDULING ORDER
23		Courtroom: 8, 19 th Floor
24		The Honorable Charles R. Breyer
25		}
26)
27		
28		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO. C 05-02233 CRB

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. ("Brocade") and defendants Gregory L. Reyes, Antonio Canova, Neal Dempsey, Seth D. Neiman, and Robert D. Bossi (collectively, with Brocade, the "Parties") hereby submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Scheduling Order.

RECITALS

Whereas, this action began as a shareholder derivative action on behalf of Brocade against certain former officers and directors of Brocade:

Whereas, pursuant to the Court's Order dated June 18, 2008, Brocade (by the through the Special Litigation Committee of Brocade's Board of Directors) filed a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") on August 1, 2008;

Whereas, on August 27, 2008, the Court entered an Order realigning the parties, with Brocade re-designated as the sole party-plaintiff and substituted for the shareholder plaintiffs;

Whereas, the SAC asserted claims against four defendants (Reyes, Canova, Dempsey, and Neiman) who were originally named as defendants in the underlying derivative action, and against six defendants (Paul R. Bonderson, Jr., Robert Bossi, Michael Byrd, Jack Cuthbert, Stephanie Jensen, and Mark Leslie) who were not previously part of the derivative action in this Court;

Whereas, on October 6, 2008, each of the ten defendants filed a motion to dismiss the SAC;

Whereas, the Court entered a Memorandum Order On Motions To Dismiss on December 12, 2008, in which the Court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motions to dismiss, and entered a further Order On Motions To Dismiss on January 6, 2009 setting forth the reasons for the Court's decision (together, the "Orders On Motion To Dismiss");

Whereas, as set forth in its Orders On Motions To Dismiss, the Court dismissed all claims against defendants Bonderson, Byrd, Cuthbert, Jensen, and Leslie (each of whom remains a defendant in the parallel action pending in the Santa Clara Superior Court), and certain of the claims asserted against defendants Reyes, Canova, Dempsey, Neiman, and Bossi;

Whereas, in its Orders On Motions To Dismiss, the Court ruled that Brocade's tenth cause of action for contribution - which was asserted against Reyes, Canova, Byrd, Dempsey, Leslie, and Neiman – is dismissed without prejudice because it is not yet ripe for determination.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Whereas, in its Orders On Motions To Dismiss, the Court ruled that Brocade may proceed on the following claims against the identified defendants: the fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, and eleventh causes of action alleging various breaches of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment against Reyes and Canova; the fifth, seventh, and eighth causes of action alleging various breaches of fiduciary duty against Dempsey and Neiman; and the thirteenth cause of action for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty against Bossi;

Whereas, discovery in this action had been stayed pursuant to the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 providing for an automatic stay of discovery during the pendency of any motion to dismiss;

Whereas, in its Order dated September 18, 2008, the Court set a trial date of June 15, 2009, and set June 4, 2009 for the pretrial conference, and June 11, 2009 for jury selection.

Whereas, the Parties participated in a meet-and-confer conference on October 7, 2008 (in which the now-dismissed defendants also participated), and in further conferences on January 6 and 7, 2009 pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to discuss a discovery plan and pretrial schedule;

Now, therefore, the Parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree, subject to approval by the Court, as follows:

I. JOINTLY PROPOSED PRETRIAL SCHEDULE

Α. FACT DISCOVERY

1. **Initial Disclosures**

Initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed by January 20, 2009.

2. Response to Previously Served Document Requests

At the meet-and-confer conference on October 7, 2008, Brocade invited the defendants to serve requests for production of documents on Brocade, notwithstanding the stay on discovery then in effect. Defendants Reyes and Bossi each served document requests on Brocade on October 21, 2008, and November 17, 2008, respectively. Brocade shall provide a written response to these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

documents requests, and shall substantially complete its production of documents responsive to those parts of the requests to which Brocade does not object, by January 12, 2009.

3. Written Discovery

All requests for production of documents, interrogatories, and requests for admission must be served by March 1, 2009, so that all written discovery is completed by the fact discovery final deadline of March 31, 2009.

4. **Fact Depositions**

- Deadline: All depositions, other than expert depositions, must be (a) completed by March 31, 2009.
- Identification of Deponents and Deposition Scheduling: On or before (b) January 23, 2009, the Parties shall meet-and-confer to discuss the scheduling of depositions of fact witnesses, including depositions that may already have been noticed (or a subpoena issued). Each Party shall make a good faith effort to identify the individuals or organizations that it or he expects to depose, with the understanding that this good faith exchange of information is not intended to be binding on any Party, and no Party shall be precluded from taking the deposition of a subsequently identified individual or organization. At this meet-and-confer and continuing thereafter, the Parties shall make every attempt to reach a mutually acceptable deposition schedule for factual witnesses with the understanding that it may be necessary to double-track or even triple track depositions in order to meet the schedule set out in this Order.

(c) Number of Depositions Allowed:

Excluding expert witness depositions, Brocade shall take no more than twenty-five (25) depositions, and the defendants (as a group) shall take no more than twenty-five (25) depositions. As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(1), unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the Court, each deposition shall be limited to one (1) day of seven (7) hours.

5. Fact Discovery Final Deadline

All discovery, other than expert discovery, must be completed by March 31, 2009.

East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2225

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

R	EXPE	RT	DISC	O	VERY

Expert Designations and Reports 1.

- The Party with the burden of proof on an issue shall designate any (a) experts on that issue, disclose the information contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), and serve opening expert reports by March 2, 2009.
- Each Party shall identify experts in rebuttal to testimony referred to in (b) paragraph I.B.1(a) above, and serve expert rebuttal reports, if required, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by March 30, 2009.

2. **Expert Depositions**

All depositions of all Parties' designated experts must be completed by April 10, 2009.

C. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS

- 1. Dispositive motions, such as motions for summary judgment or partial summary judgment, must be filed by April 13, 2009.
 - 2. Oppositions to dispositive motions must be filed by May 4, 2009.
 - 3. Replies in support of dispositive motions must by filed by May 14, 2009.
 - All dispositive motions shall be heard on May 28, 2009.

D. **Pre-Trial Conference And Interim Deadlines**

1. Identification of Trial Witnesses:

(a) Witnesses for Case-In-Chief:

Each Party must serve on the other Parties a list identifying all fact witnesses that the Party expects to present at trial by April 10, 2009.

Rebuttal Witnesses: (b)

Each Party must serve on the other Parties a list identifying all fact witnesses that the Party expects to call at trial, or may call if the need arises, in rebuttal to witnesses identified pursuant to paragraph I.D.1(a) above by April 17, 2009.

4. Identification of Trial Exhibits:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Exhibits for Case-in-Chief: Each Party must serve on the other Parties (a) a list identifying each document or other exhibit that the Party expects to offer at trial, other than solely for purposes of impeachment, by May 8, 2009.
- Exhibits for Rebuttal: Each Party must serve on the other Parties a (b) counter-list identifying each document or other exhibit that the Party expects to offer at trial, other than solely for purposes of impeachment, in response to the documents or other exhibits identified pursuant to paragraph I.D.4(a) above by May 13, 2009.

5. Motions in limine:

- Motions: Motions in limine that any Party intends to make must be (a) served on the other Parties by May 15, 2009, in accordance with the Court's Guidelines For Trial And Final Pretrial Conference In Civil Jury Cases that was filed by the Court in this action on September 18, 2008 (the "Court's Guidelines").
- (b) Oppositions: Oppositions to motions in limine must be served on the other Parties by May 26, 2008, in accordance with the Court's Guidelines.
- (c) Filing of Collated Motions/Oppositions: Motions in limine, together with the any opposition thereto, must be filed by the moving Party by May 28, 2009, in accordance with the Court's Guidelines.
- 6. Pretrial Briefs: In accordance with the Court's Guidelines, pretrial briefs are optional but, if filed, must be filed by May 28, 2009.
- 10. Proposed Final Pretrial Order: The Parties shall file a joint proposed final pretrial order on May 28, 2009, in accordance with the Court's Guidelines.
- 11. Jury Instructions/Voir Dire: The Parties shall file proposed jury instructions and proposed voir dire by May 28, 2009, in accordance with the Court's Guidelines.
- 12. Pretrial Conference: The pretrial conference shall be held on June 4, 2009, at 2:30 p.m., as previously set by the Court.
 - 13. Jury Selection: Jury selection shall commence on June 09, 2009, at 8:30 a.m.,

DEWEY & LeBOEUF LLP 1950 University Avenue, Suite 500 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2225

1	E.	Trial Date	
2		1. The trial shall commence on June 15, 2009, at 8	:30 a.m., as previously set by
3	the Court.		
4		2. Post-trial submissions, if any, shall be due thirty	(30) calendar days from the
5	last day of tri	al.	
6	F.	Summary Of Pretrial Schedule And Trial Date	
7	Fact 1	Discovery	
8		Brocade's Response to Previous Document Requests	January 12, 2009
9		Initial Disclosures	January 20, 2009
10		Meet-and-Confer Re: Deposition Scheduling	January 23, 2009
11		Fact Discovery Final Deadline	March 31, 2009
12	Expe	rt Discovery	
13		Designations of Experts/Reports of Party with Burden	March 2, 2009
14		Designations of Rebuttal Experts/Reports	March 30, 2009
15		Deadline for Complete of Expert Depositions	April 10, 2009
16			
17	Trial	Witnesses (Fact Witnesses)	
18		Identification of Trial Witnesses	April 10, 2009
19		Identification of Rebuttal Trial Witnesses	April 17, 2009
20	Dispo	ositive Motions	
21		Deadline for Filing Dispositive Motions	April 13, 2009
22		Oppositions	May 4, 2009
23		Replies	May 14, 2009
24		Hearing Date	May 28, 2009
25	Pretr	ial Procedures	
26		Designation of Trial Exhibits	May 8, 2009
27		Counter-Designation of Trial Exhibits	May 13, 2009
28			

1	Motions in Limine		May 15, 2009
2	Oppositions to Motions in Limine		May 26, 2009
3	Filing of paired sets of Motions	in Limine/Oppositions	May 28, 2009
4	Filing of Joint Proposed Final P	retrial Order	May 28, 2009
5	Filing of Proposed Jury Instructions/Voir Dire		May 28, 2009
6	Pretrial Briefs		May 28, 2009
7	Pretrial Conference		June 4, 2009
8	Jury Selection		June 9, 2009
9			
10	Trial and Post-Trial Submissions		
11	Trial Date		June 15, 2009
12	Post-Trial Submissions		30 days after trial
13			
14	Dated: January 15, 2009	DEWEY & LEBOEU	F LLP
15			
16		/s/ Peter E. Root	
17		Peter	E. Root
18		Attorneys For Plaintiff BROCADE COMMU	
19		SYSTEMS, INC.	
20	Dated: January 15, 2009	HOGAN & HARTSO	N LLP
21			
22		/s/ Norman Blears	
23			in Blears
24		Attorneys For Defenda	ant Antonio Canova
25	Dated: January 15, 2009	WILMER CUTLER P	PICKERING HALE &
26		DORR	
27			
28		- 7 - STIPULATION AND [PROI	POSED] SCHEDULING ORDER CASE NO.: C 05-02233 CRB

	1		/s/ Jonathan A.Shapiro Jonathan A. Shapiro
	2		Attorneys For Defendant Seth D. Neiman
	3		
	4 Dated: January 15	5, 2009	K&L GATES LLP
	5		
	6		/s/ Jeffrey L. Bornstein
	7		Jeffrey L. Bornstein
	8 Dated: January 15	5, 2009	Attorneys For Defendant Neal Dempsey SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
	9		
	0		/s/ Garrett J. Waltzer
	1		Garrett J. Waltzer
	2 3		Attorneys For Defendant Gregory L. Reyes
	4 Dated: January 15	5, 2009	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
1	5		
1	6		/s/ Michael D. Torpey
1	7		Michael D. Torpey
1	8		
1	9		
2	о		
2	1		
2	2		
2	3		
2	4		
2	5		
2	6		
2	7		
2	8		

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

I, Peter E. Root, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Case Management Conference Statement. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B., I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 15th day of January, 2009, at East Palo Alto, California.

/s/ Peter E. Root

Peter E. Root

ORDER

Upon Stipulation of the Parties as modified by the Court and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 16, 2009

